

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of California

Oakland Division

HILARIO MARTINEZ,

No. C 11-01017 SBA (LB)

Plaintiff,

**ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S  
FEBRUARY 10, 2012 LETTER**

v.

CITY OF PITTSBURG, et al.,

Defendants.

On February 10, 2012, Plaintiff Hilario Martinez filed a letter describing a potential discovery dispute. 02/10/2012 Letter, ECF No. 43.<sup>1</sup> In the letter, Mr. Martinez noted that his counsel was scheduled to meet-and-confer with counsel for defendant City of Pittsburg on February 15, 2012, per the undersigned's standing order. *Id.* Upon review of Mr. Martinez's letter, the court is unable to determine whether a discovery dispute still exists, and, if so, to what extent it exists. If it does, the parties should file a joint letter that informs the court about these issues.

This disposes of ECF No. 43.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

///

///

<sup>1</sup> Citations are to the Electronic Case File ("ECF") with pin cites to the electronic page number at the top of the document, not the pages at the bottom.

1 Dated: March 1, 2012

2   
3 LAUREL BEELER  
4 United States Magistrate Judge  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
For the Northern District of California